DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD

OF THE

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

LOUIS PASHMAN, ESQ. CHAIR
BONNIE C. FROST, ESQ. VICE-CHAIR
EDNA Y. BAUGH, ESQ.
BRUCE W. CLARK, ESQ.
JEANNE DOREMMUS
HON. REGINALD STANTON
SPENCER V. WISSINGER, III
MORRIS YAMNER, ESQ.
ROBERT C. ZMIRICH



RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX P.O. BOX 962 TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0962 (609) 292-1011 JULIANNE K. DECORE CHIEF COUNSEL

ISABEL FRANK
DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

ELLEN A. BRODSKY
FIRST ASSISTANT COUNSEL

LILLIAN LEWIN
DONA S. SEROTA-TESCHNER
COLIN T. TAMS
KATHRYN ANNE WINTERLE
ASSISTANT COUNSEL

June 9, 2009

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL, R.R.R. & REGULAR MAIL

Edania C. Rondon, Esq. 3700 Bergenline Avenue Suite 201 Union City, NJ 07087

Re: In the Matter of Edania C. Rondon

Docket No. DRB 09-002

District Docket No. XIV-07-0175E

LETTER OF ADMONITION

Dear Ms. Rondon:

The Disciplinary Review Board has reviewed your conduct in above matter and has concluded that it was improper. Specifically, in 2007, the Office of Attorney Ethics ("OAE") became aware of an overdraft in your trust account, pursuant to a trust account overdraft notification agreement with the Wachovia Bank. The OAE's investigation revealed that you made a mistake on a client ledger card that led to the invasion of other clients' funds. Ultimately, the mistake created a shortage your trust account that resulted in the negligent misappropriation of client trust funds. In addition, you did not maintain your records in accordance with the recordkeeping rules. Your conduct was unethical and a violation of RPC 1.15(a) and RPC 1.15(d).

In the Matter of Edania C. Rondon Docket No. DRB 09-002 Page 2

Although the typical discipline for negligent misappropriation and recordkeeping violations is a reprimand, compelling mitigating factors may reduce the discipline to an admonition. In re Gemma, 195 N.J. 5 (2008); In re Weston-Rivera, 194 N.J. 511 (2008); and In the Matter of Michael Palmer, DRB 07-382 (March 3, 2008).

In imposing only an admonition in this matter, the Board considered that you have an otherwise unblemished twenty-four-year ethics history; that you fully cooperated with the OAE and reconstructed your records beyond the period that it requested, thereby discovering the source of the overdraft; that no clients were harmed; that you expressed regret for making an error in your records; and that your records are currently in compliance with \underline{R} . 1:21-6.

Your conduct has adversely reflected not only upon you as an attorney but also upon all members of the bar. Accordingly, the Board has directed the issuance of this admonition to you. R. 1:20-15(f)(4).

A permanent record of this occurrence has been filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the Board's office. Should you become the subject of any further discipline, it will be taken into consideration.

The Board has determined to require you to submit to the OAE, on a quarterly basis, monthly reconciliations of your trust account for a two-year period.

The Board has also directed that the costs of the disciplinary proceedings be assessed against you. An invoice of costs will be forwarded under separate cover.

Very truly yours,

ulianne K. DeCore

Thief Counsel

JKD/

c: Chief Justice Stuart Rabner Associate Justices In the Matter of Edania C. Rondon
Docket No. DRB 09-002
Page 3

Louis Pashman, Chair
Disciplinary Review Board
Mark Neary, Clerk
Supreme Court of New Jersey
Gail G. Haney, Deputy Clerk
Supreme Court of New Jersey (w/ethics history)
Charles Centinaro, Director
Office of Attorney Ethics
Christopher J. Orriss, Chair, District VI Ethics Committee
Jack Jay Wind, Secretary, District VI Ethics Committee