SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-103 September Term 2009 066104

IN THE MATTER OF ANDREW J. BREKUS, AN ATTORNEY AT LAW (Attorney No. 042361986) : FILED JUL 19 2010 ML Mun CLERK

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 09-399, concluding that ANDREW J. BREKUS of BROOMALL, PENNSYLVANIA, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1986, and who has been suspended from the practice of law since September 1, 2008, should be suspended from practice for a period of one year for violating <u>RPC</u> 1.1(a) (gross neglect), <u>RPC</u> 1.1(b) (pattern of neglect), <u>RPC</u> 1.3(lack of diligence), <u>RPC</u> 1.4(b) (failure to keep client reasonably informed about the status of the matter), <u>RPC</u> 1.16(d) (on termination of representation, failure to surrender papers to which client is entitled), <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) (failure to reply to lawful demand for information from disciplinary authorities), and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(c) (misrepresentation), and good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **ANDREW J. BREKUS** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year and until the further Order of the Court, effective immediately; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent continue to comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(c); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 15th day of July, 2010.

The foregoing is a true copy ci the original on file in my office.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

OF THE SUPREME COURT CLERK **OF NEW JERSEY**