## DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD

## **OF THE**

## SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

LOUIS PASHMAN, ESQ. CHAIR
BONNIE C. FROST, ESQ. VICE-CHAIR
EDNA Y. BAUGH, ESQ.
BRUCE W. CLARK, ESQ.
JEANNE DOREMUS
HON. REGINALD STANTON
SPENCER V. WISSINGER, III
MORRIS YAMNER, ESQ.
ROBERT C. ZMIRICH



RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX P.O. BOX 962 TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0962 (609) 292-1011

January 25, 2011

Julianne K. DeCore chief counsel

ISABEL FRANK DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

ELLEN A. BRODSKY FIRST ASSISTANT COUNSEL

LILLIAN LEWIN
DONA S. SEROTA -TESCHNER
COLIN T. TAMS
KATHRYN ANNE WINTERLE
ASSISTANT COUNSEL

## VIA CERTIFIED MAIL, R.R.R. & REGULAR MAIL

Joseph Jerome Fell c/o William W. Voorhees, Jr. 444 East Main Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Chester, New Jersey 07930

Re: <u>In the Matter of Joseph Jerome Fell</u>

Docket No. DRB 10-328
District Docket No. XIII-09-014E and XIII-09-015E
LETTER OF ADMONITION

Dear Mr. Fell:

The Disciplinary Review Board has reviewed your conduct in the above matter and has concluded that it was improper. Specifically, you represented James Chickara in connection with Chickara's sale of his one-third interest in a car wash to the grievants, Carlos A. Duarte and Jose Rodriguez, and a third individual. In October 2008, Duarte and Rodriguez gave checks totaling \$325,000 to you, payable to your trust account. Duarte and Rodriguez claimed that they instructed you orally not to release any of their funds to Chickara until all contracts and operating agreements had been signed and their attorney approved the paperwork. There was no written escrow agreement prepared.

Chickara provided to you an executed operating agreement, purportedly approving the sale of his one-third interest in the car wash. One of the necessary signatories, however, did not sign the agreement. You mistakenly believed that the agreement had been properly executed and began to disburse the \$325,000 to Chickara, and to "various other individuals and entities associated with him and his interests." Duarte and Rodriguez never received their one-

In the Matter of Joseph Jerome Fell Docket No. DRB 10-328 Page 2

third interest in the car wash. Your conduct was unethical and a violation of  $\underline{RPC}$  1.15(a). Although the complaint charged you with violating  $\underline{RPC}$  1.2 and  $\underline{RPC}$  3.1, we find those rules inapplicable to the facts of this matter.

In imposing only an admonition, we noted that you accepted full responsibility for your mistakes, were remorseful, have no disciplinary history, have a good professional reputation, and did not act in your own self-interest.

Your conduct has adversely reflected not only upon you as an attorney but also upon all members of the bar. Accordingly, the Board has directed the issuance of this admonition to you.  $\underline{R}$ . 1:20-15(f)(4).

A permanent record of this occurrence has been filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the Board's office. Should you become the subject of any further discipline, it will be taken into consideration.

The Board has also directed that the costs of the disciplinary proceedings be assessed against you. An invoice of costs will be forwarded under separate cover.

Very truly yours,

fullianne K. DeCore

whence K. Se Core

chief Counsel

JKD/paa

c: Chief Justice Stuart Rabner

Associate Justices

Louis Pashman, Chair

Disciplinary Review Board

Mark Neary, Clerk

Supreme Court of New Jersey

Gail G. Haney, Deputy Clerk

Supreme Court of New Jersey (w/ethics history)

Charles Centinaro, Director

Office of Attorney Ethics

Victor A. Rotolo, Chair, District XIII Ethics Committee

Donna P. Legband, Secretary, District XIII Ethics Committee

Carlos Duarte, Grievant

Jose Rodriguez, Grievant