## **DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD**

#### OF THE

#### SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

LOUIS PASHMAN, ESQ. CHAIR
BONNIE C. FROST, ESQ. VICE-CHAIR
EDNA Y. BAUGH, ESQ.
BRUCE W. CLARK, ESQ.
JEANNE DOREMUS
SPENCER V. WISSINGER, III
MORRIS YAMNER, ESQ.
ROBERT C. ZMIRICH



RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX P.O. BOX 962 TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0962 (609) 292-1011 JULIANNE K. DECORE CHIEF COUNSEL

ISABEL FRANK DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

ELLEN A. BRODSKY FIRST ASSISTANT COUNSEL

LILLIAN LEWIN
DONA S. SEROTA -TESCHNER
COLIN T. TAMS
KATHRYN ANNE WINTERLE
ASSISTANT COUNSEL

November 30, 2011

### VIA CERTIFIED MAIL, R.R.R & REGULAR MAIL

Robert C. Armstrong, Esq. 232 4<sup>th</sup> Street Jersey City, NJ 07302

RE: In the Matter of Robert C. Armstrong
Docket No. DRB 11-309
District Docket No. XIV-2011-0031
LETTER OF ADMONITION

Dear Mr. Armstrong:

The Disciplinary Review Board reviewed the motion for discipline by consent (admonition), filed by the Office of Attorney Ethics in the above matter, pursuant to  $\underline{R}$ . 1:20-10. Following a review of the record, the Board determined to grant the motion and to impose an admonition.

Specifically, six months after you issued a July 6, 2010 trust account check payable to Godec Appraisal Service for \$600, the check was returned for insufficient funds. In the months between its issuance and the January 2011 presentation for payment, your bank had levied ordinary maintenance charges against your attorney trust account, but there were insufficient

#### Page Two

#### In the Matter of Robert C. Armstrong

funds available to cover those charges. As a result, the bank charges invaded funds that you were required to hold in escrow on behalf of Godec.

Although no affirmative act of yours caused a negligent misappropriation of trust account funds, your neglect in failing to monitor the account and to recognize the need for an infusion of funds for bank fees amounted to a failure to safeguard client or escrow funds, a violation of  $\underline{RPC}$  1.15(a). The bank's use of client or escrow funds to defray bank charges was attributable to you and analogous to a negligent misappropriation caused by your neglect of your recordkeeping duties, a violation of  $\underline{RPC}$  1.15(d) and  $\underline{R.}$  1:21-6.

Your conduct adversely reflected not only upon you as an attorney, but also upon all members of the bar. Accordingly, the Board has directed the issuance of this admonition to you. R. 1:20-15(f)(4).

In imposing only an admonition, the Board considered that you have no prior discipline, in over thirty years at the bar.

A permanent record of this occurrence has been filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the Board's office. Should you become the subject of any further discipline, it will be taken into consideration.

The Board has also directed that the costs of the disciplinary proceedings be assessed against you. An invoice of costs will be forwarded under separate cover.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Under <u>RPC</u> 1.15(a), it was permissible for you to leave sufficient personal funds in the trust account to pay ordinary bank fees.

# Page Three In the Matter of Robert C. Armstrong

Very truly yours,

Julianne K. DeCore

aliene K. De Core

Chief Counsel

### JKC/sj

c. Chief Justice Stuart Rabner
 Associate Justices
Louis Pashman, Chair
 Disciplinary Review Board
Mark Neary, Clerk
 Supreme Court of New Jersey
Gail G. Haney, Deputy Clerk
 Supreme Court of New Jersey (w/ethics history)
Charles Centinaro, Director
 Office of Attorney Ethics