DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD

OF THE

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

LOUIS PASHMAN, ESQ. CHAIR
BONNIE C. FROST, ESQ. VICE-CHAIR
EDNA Y. BAUGH, ESQ.
BRUCE W. CLARK, ESQ.
JEANNE DOREMUS
HON. MAURICE J. GALLIPOLI
SPENCER V. WISSINGER, III
MORRIS YAMNER, ESQ.
ROBERT C. ZMIRICH



RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX P.O. BOX 962 TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0962 (609) 292-1011

November 16, 2012

JULIANNE K. DECORE CHIEF COUNSEL

ISABEL FRANK DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

ELLEN A. BRODSKY FIRST ASSISTANT COUNSEL

LILLIAN LEWIN

DONA S. SEROTA -TESCHNER

COLIN T. TAMS

KATHRYN ANNE WINTERLE

ASSISTANT COUNSEL

VIA CERTIFIED, R.R.R. and REGULAR MAIL

Ralph Alexander Gonzalez, Esquire 2 Woodbrook Road Voorhees, New Jersey 08043

Re: <u>In the Matter of Ralph Alexander Gonzalez</u>

Docket No. DRB 12-283
District Docket No. IV-2011-0027E
LETTER OF ADMONITION

Dear Mr. Gonzalez:

The Disciplinary Review Board has reviewed your conduct in the above matter and has concluded that it was improper. Following a review of the record, the Board determined to impose an admonition.

Specifically, in August 2010, Thea Laverty Flores retained you to represent her in "a family matter." On September 13, 2010, you sued her in small claims court, after she refused to pay your fee. On October 11, 2010, your client filed an ethics grievance against you.

On October 20, 2010, the civil suit was settled. In addition to your client's obligation to pay \$500 to you, the settlement agreement required her to "write a letter asking to withdraw any ethics complaint she may have filed."

<u>I/M/O Alexander Gonzalez</u>, DRB 12-283 November 16, 2012 Page 2 of 3

Your attempt to persuade your client to withdraw her grievance as part of the settlement of the civil suit that you had filed against her constituted conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice, a violation of RPC 8.4(d), warranting the imposition of an admonition. See, e.g., In the Matter of R. Tyler Tomlinson, DRB 01-284 (November 2, 2001) (admonition for attorney who improperly conditioned the resolution of a collection case on the dismissal of an ethics grievance filed against the attorney by his client's parents).

In imposing only an admonition, the Board took into consideration that your disciplinary record has remained untarnished since 1995.

Your conduct adversely reflected not only upon you as an attorney, but also upon all members of the bar. Accordingly, the Board has directed the issuance of this admonition to you. R. 1:20-15(f)(4).

A permanent record of this occurrence has been filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the Board's office. Should you become the subject of any further discipline, it will be taken into consideration.

The Board has also directed that the costs of the disciplinary proceedings be assessed against you. An invoice of costs will be forwarded under separate cover.

Very truly yours,

Julianne K. DeCore

Juliane K. Olelore

Chief Counsel

JDK:paa

c. Chief Justice Stuart Rabner
 Associate Justices
 Louis Pashman, Chair
 Disciplinary Review Board
 Mark Neary, Clerk
 Supreme Court of New Jersey
Gail G. Haney, Deputy Clerk
 Supreme Court of New Jersey (w/ethics history)

I/M/O Alexander Gonzalez, DRB 12-283
November 16, 2012
Page 3 of 3

Charles Centinaro, Director
Office of Attorney Ethics
Dawnn E. Briddell, Chair
District IV Ethics Committee
John M. Palm, Secretary
District IV Ethics Committee
Thea Laverty Flores, Grievant