## **DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD**

## **OF THE**

## SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

LOUIS PASHMAN, ESQ. CHAIR
BONNIE C. FROST, ESQ. VICE-CHAIR
EDNA Y. BAUGH, ESQ.
BRUCE W. CLARK, ESQ.
JEANNE DOREMUS
HON. MAURICE J. GALLIPOLI
SPENCER V. WISSINGER, III
MORRIS YAMNER, ESQ.
ROBERT C. ZMIRICH



RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX P.O. BOX 962 TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0962 (609) 292-1011

November 27, 2012

JULIANNE K. DECORE CHIEF COUNSEL ISABEL FRANK DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

ELLEN A. BRODSKY

FIRST ASSISTANT COUNSEL

LILLIAN LEWIN

DONA S. SEROTA -TESCHNER

COLIN T. TAMS

KATHRYN ANNE WINTERLE

ASSISTANT COUNSEL

Mark Neary, Clerk
Supreme Court of New Jersey
P.O. Box 970
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0962

Re: <u>In the Matter of Ronald J. Brandmayr, Jr.</u>
Docket No. DRB 12-231
District Docket No. XIV-2011-0357E

Dear Mr. Neary:

The Disciplinary Review Board reviewed the motion for discipline by consent (reprimand or such lesser discipline as the Board may determine is warranted) filed by the Office of Attorney Ethics in the above matter, pursuant to  $\underline{R}$ . 1:20-10. Following a review of the record, the Board determined to grant the motion. In the Board's view, a reprimand is the appropriate discipline for respondent's violation of  $\underline{RPC}$  5.5(a)(1) (practicing law while ineligible).

Specifically, on September 27, 2010, respondent suspended from the practice of law for failure to pay the New Jersey Lawyers' Fund for Client Protection (CPF) 2010. Although respondent was assessment for aware of his and concomitant ineligibility, suspension he continued practice law through January 30, 2012, after which he paid his past due assessments and was reinstated. In all, respondent represented clients in two matters.

I/M/O Ronald J. Brandmayr, Jr.

Docket No. DRB 12-231 November 27, 2012 Page 2 of 2

Respondent cited his own poor financial condition as the reason why he had not paid the annual assessment when it was due, but conceded that, by continuing to practice law unabated during his period of ineligibility, he violated <u>RPC</u> 5.5(a)(1).

In mitigation, respondent has no prior final discipline since his 2002 admission to the bar. He also acknowledged his wrongdoing and conserved disciplinary resources by entering into the consent to discipline. Finally, he has paid the delinquent fees to the CPF.

Enclosed are the following documents:

- 1. Notice of motion for discipline by consent, dated July 10, 2012.
- 2. Stipulation of discipline by consent, dated July 10, 2012.
- 3. Affidavit of consent, dated June 20, 2012.
- 4. Ethics history, dated November 27, 2012.

Very truly yours,

Julianne K. DeCore

ulianu K. De Cor

Chief Counsel

/lgn

Encls.

c: Louis Pashman, Chair, Disciplinary Review Board Charles Centinaro, Director, Office of Attorney Ethics Janice L. Richter, OAE, Deputy Ethics Counsel Ronald Brandmayr, Jr.