SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-95 September Term 2012 072366

IN THE MATTER OF

STUART D. FELSEN,

AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

(Attorney No. 014521993)

LED

ORDER

JUN 05 2013

My hung CLERK

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 12-332, concluding on the record certified to the Board pursuant to $\underline{\text{Rule}}\ 1:20-4(f)\ (1)\ (\text{default by}\ \text{respondent})$, that **STUART D. FELSEN** of **CEDAR KNOLLS**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1993, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months for violating $\underline{\text{RPC}}\ 1.3\ (\text{lack of diligence})$, $\underline{\text{RPC}}\ 1.4\ (\text{b})\ (\text{failure to communicate with client})$, and $\underline{\text{RPC}}\ 8.1\ (\text{b})\ (\text{failure to cooperate with ethics authorities})$;

:

And the Disciplinary Review Board having further concluded that prior to reinstatement to the practice of law, respondent should be required to submit proof of his fitness to practice;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **STUART D. FELSEN** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months and until the further Order of the Court, effective July 5, 2013, and it is further

ORDERED that prior to reinstatement to practice, respondent shall submit proof of his fitness to practice law as attested to by a mental health professional approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with $\underline{\text{Rule}}$ 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of Rule 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(c); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to Rule 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in $\underline{\text{Rule}}$ 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 4th day of June, 2013.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in my office.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

OF NEW JERSEY