SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-168 September Term 2012 073079

IN THE MATTER OF

KEITH O. MOSES,

AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

(Attorney No. 045121990)

ORDER

JAN 03 2014

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 13-049, concluding that **KEITH O. MOSES** of **JERSEY CITY**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1990, should be censured for violating <u>RPC</u> 3.4(c) (knowingly disobeying an obligation under the rules of a tribunal) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d) (conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having further concluded that respondent should be required to provide proof of his fitness to practice and be supervised in the practice of law for a period of two years;

And **KEITH O. MOSES** having been ordered to show cause why he should not be disbarred or otherwise disciplined;

And the Court having determined from its review of the matter that a three-month suspension from practice is the appropriate quantum of discipline for respondent's unethical conduct;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **KEITH O. MOSES** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months, effective February 7, 2014, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that prior to reinstatement to the practice of law, respondent shall submit proof of his fitness to practice law as attested to by a mental health professional approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics; and it is further

ORDERED that following reinstatement, respondent shall practice law under the supervision of a practicing attorney approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics for a period of two years and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with $\underline{\text{Rule}}$ 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(c); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to Rule 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual

expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 7th day of January, 2014.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

The state of the s