SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-82 September Term 2013 074046

IN THE MATTER OF	:		FILED
JARED E. STOLZ, AN ATTORNEY AT LAW	:	ORDER	SEP 0 4 2014
(Attorney No. 019621990)	:		CLERK CLERK

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 13-331, concluding that **JARED E**. **STOLZ** of **FLEMINGTON**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1990, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months for violating <u>RPC</u> 3.2(failing to treat with courtesy and consideration all persons involved in the legal process), <u>RPC</u> 3.3(a) (1) (knowingly making a false statement of material fact or law to a tribunal), <u>RPC</u> 3.3(a) (5) (failing to disclose to the tribunal a material fact knowing that the omission is reasonably certain to mislead the tribunal), <u>RPC</u> 4.1(a) (in representing a client, knowingly making a false statement of material fact or law to a third person), <u>RPC</u> 8.4(a) (violating or attempting to violate the <u>RPC</u>s), and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d) (conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice), and good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **JARED E. STOLZ** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months, and until the further Order of the Court, effective October 3, 2014; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(c); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 3rd day of September, 2014.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in my office.

My hung

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT