SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-146 September Term 2013 074676

IN THE MATTER OF

GREGORY N. FILOSA,

AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

(Attorney No. 039102005)

FILED

ORDER

WOV 0 6 2014

CLERK

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 14-108, concluding that as a matter of reciprocal discipline pursuant to Rule 1:20-14, GREGORY N. FILOSA of NEW YORK, NEW YORK, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 2006, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year based on discipline imposed in the state of New York for conduct that in New Jersey constitutes violations of RPC 3.3(a)(4)(a lawyer shall not knowingly offer evidence that the lawyer knows to be false), RPC 3.4(a) (a lawyer shall not unlawfully obstruct another party's access to evidence or unlawfully alter, destroy or conceal a documents or other material having potential evidentiary value, or counsel or assist another person to do any such act), RPC 3.4(b)(a lawyer shall not falsify evidence, counsel or assist a witness to testify falsely), RPC 4.1(a)(a lawyer shall not knowingly make a false statement of material fact or law to a third person), RPC 8.4(a)(conduct that violates the RPCs), RPC 8.4(c)(conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation), and RPC 8.4(d)(conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having further concluded that the term of suspension should be retroactive to the date of

his suspension in New York;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **GREGORY N. FILOSA** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year, effective February 12, 2013, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with $\underline{\text{Rule}}\ 1:20-20$ dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(c); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 6th day of November, 2014.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in my office.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

OF NEW JERSEY