SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-142 September Term 2009 066638

IN THE MATTER OF FILED GABRIEL F. GONZALEZ, AN ATTORNEY AT LAW NOV 18 2010 ORDER (Attorney No. 043021996)

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 10-074, concluding on the record certified to the Board pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-4(f) (default by respondent), that **GABRIEL F. GONZALEZ** of **UNION**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1997, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months for violating <u>RPC</u> 8.4(b) (criminal act that reflects adversely on the attorney's fitness as a lawyer);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having further concluded that prior to reinstatement to practice, respondent should be required to submit proof of his fitness to practice law and enroll in an approved alcohol treatment program and submit proof of his attendance;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that GABRIEL F. GONZALEZ is suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months and until the further Order of the Court, effective immediately; and it is further

ORDERED that prior to reinstatement to the practice of law, GABRIEL F. GONZALEZ shall submit to the Office of Attorney Ethics proof of his fitness to practice law as attested to by a mental health professional approved by that office, and respondent shall enroll in an alcohol treatment program approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics and submit proof his attendance on a schedule to be determined by that office; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(c); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 16th day of November, 2010.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in my office.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT -