SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
Disciplinary Review Board
Docket No. DRB 14-191
District Docket No. XIV-2013-0322E

IN THE MATTER OF

MARC Z. PALFY

Dissent

AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

The majority has recommended that respondent receive a three-month consecutive suspension. I dissent from the majority and vote to recommend respondent's disbarment. I do so not because of respondent's disciplinary record, but because an already disciplined attorney, who then fails to comply with an order of the Court entered because of conduct previously determined to be unethical, manifests a disdain for the disciplinary process and the responsibilities attendant to the privilege of being permitted to practice the profession of the law. If the Board were to recommend disbarment, respondent would be compelled to appear before the Court to explain why he has not complied with the Court's order requiring the filing of the R. 1:20-20 affidavit. I believe that this should occur.

By such a procedure, I believe that the public and respondent's clients would be protected from the consequences of

respondent's suspension and all attorneys would quickly come to understand and appreciate the importance of compliance with the Court's orders and the grave potential consequences of non-compliance.

Disciplinary Review Board Maurice J. Gallipoli

Bv:

Ellen A. Brodsky

Chief Counsel