SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-46 September Term 2004

ORDER

IN THE MATTER OF ANTHONY M. SUPINO, AN ATTORNEY AT LAW (Attorney No. 024031988)

FILED FEB 2 5 2005

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 04-253, concluding that **ANTHONY M. SUPINO** of **BEACH HAVEN**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1988, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months for violating <u>RPC</u> 3.2(failure to treat with courtesy and consideration all persons involved in the legal process), <u>RPC</u> 3.4(g) (presenting, participating in presenting, or threatening to present criminal charges to obtain an improper advantage in a civil matter), <u>RPC</u> 3.5(c) (conduct intending to disrupt a tribunal), and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d) (conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice);

2

•

And the Disciplinary Review Board having further concluded that respondent should submit proof of his fitness to practice law;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **ANTHONY M. SUPINO** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months and until the further Order of the Court, effective March 23, 2005; and it is further

ORDERED that prior to reinstatement to practice, respondent shall demonstrate his fitness to practice law as attested to by a mental health professional approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics; and it is further ORDERED that respondent be restrained and enjoined from practicing law during the period of suspension and that he comply with Rule 1:20-20; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(c); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs incurred in the prosecution of this matter.

WITNESS, the Honorable Deborah T. Poritz, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 24th day of February, 2005.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

original on file in my office.

CLERK OF THE SUPR

DECEIVE MAR 03 2005 ORIGINAL DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD