SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-18 September Term 2017 080112

IN THE MATTER OF	:		
ALEX PAVLIV,	:	ORDER	SEP 2 8 2017
AN ATTORNEY AT LAW	:		
(Attorney No. 007111983)	:		Man ELERK -

This matter have been duly presented pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-10(b), following a granting of a motion for discipline by consent in DRB 17-232 of **ALEX PAVLIV** of **HOWELL**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1983;

And the Office of Attorney Ethics and respondent having signed a stipulation of discipline by consent in which it was agreed that respondent violated, <u>RPC</u> 1.5(c) (improper calculation of contingent fee and failing to provide the client with an accurate settlement statement on conclusion of a contingent fee matter), <u>RPC</u> 1.15(d) and <u>Rule</u> 1:21-6(d) (recordkeeping violations);

And the parties having agreed that respondent's conduct violated <u>RPC</u> 1.5(c), <u>RPC</u> 1.15(d) and <u>Rule</u> 1:21-6(d), and that said conduct warrants a reprimand or such lesser discipline as the Board may deem appropriate;

And the Disciplinary Review Board having determined that a

reprimand is the appropriate discipline for respondent's unethical conduct and having granted the motion for discipline by consent in District Docket No. XIV-2016-0303E;

And the Disciplinary Review Board having submitted the record of the proceedings to the Clerk of the Supreme Court for the entry of an order of discipline in accordance with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-16(e);

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **ALEX PAVLIV** of **HOWELL** is hereby reprimanded; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 28th day of September, 2017.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT