SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-21 September Term 2017 080155

| IN THE MATTER OF           | • |       |                         |
|----------------------------|---|-------|-------------------------|
|                            | : |       | FILED                   |
| NICHOLAS ANTHONY PAGLIARA, |   |       | 13 12 Innet Beaux Beaux |
| AN ATTORNEY AT LAW         | : | ORDER | MAR 2 2 2018            |
| (Attorney No. 054712014)   | : |       | al i N.                 |
|                            | : |       | Man & Near              |

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision DRB 17-124, concluding that as a matter of final discipline pursuant <u>Rule</u> 1:20-13(c), **NICHOLAS ANTHONY PAGLIARA** of **WEEHAWKIN**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 2014, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months based on respondent's criminal conviction for third-degree aggravated assault, conduct that constitutes a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.4(b) (commission of a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having further concluded that prior to reinstatement to the practice of law, respondent should be required to undergo a psychiatric evaluation;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that NICHOLAS ANTHONY PAGLIARA is suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months, effective April 23, 2018, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that prior to reinstatement to practice, respondent shall submit to the Office of Attorney Ethics proof of his fitness to practice by undergoing an evaluation by a psychiatrist approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics; and it is further ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 20th day of March, 2018.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT