SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
Disciplinary Review Board
Docket No. DRB 17-357
District Docket No. XIV-2016-0433E

IN THE MATTER OF

MATTHEW M. GORMAN

AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

Dissent

Decided: April 5, 2018

To the Honorable Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of New Jersey.

the majority and vote to from dissent respondent's disbarment. I do so not because of respondent's disciplinary record, but because an attorney who fails to comply with an Order of the Court entered in an attorney discipline or fee arbitration matter, manifests a disdain for the disciplinary process and the responsibilities attendant to the privilege of being permitted to practice the profession of the law. disbarment, respondent would recommend to Board were compelled to appear before the Court to explain why he has not complied with the Court's Order requiring the filing of the \underline{R} . 1:20-20 affidavit. I believe that this should occur.

By such a procedure, I believe that the public and respondent's clients would be protected from the consequences of respondent's suspension and all attorneys would quickly come to understand and appreciate the importance of compliance with the Court's Orders and the grave potential consequences of non-compliance.

Disciplinary Review Board Maurice J. Gallipoli

By: A A Brodsk

Chief Counsel