DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD

OF THE

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

BONNIE C. FROST, ESQ., CHAIR BRUCE W. CLARK, ESQ., VICE-CHAIR PETER J. BOYER, ESQ. HON. MAURICE J. GALLIPOLI THOMAS J. HOBERMAN REGINA WAYNES JOSEPH, ESQ. EILEEN RIVERA ANNE C. SINGER, ESQ. ROBERT C. ZMIRICH



RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX P.O. BOX 962 TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0962 (609) 815-2920

July 23, 2018

ELLEN A. BRODSKY

PAULA T. GRANUZZO DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

MELISSA URBAN FIRST ASSISTANT COUNSEL

TIMOTHY M. ELLIS
LILLIAN LEWIN
BARRY R. PETERSEN, JR.
COLIN T. TAMS
KATHRYN ANNE WINTERLE
ASSISTANT COUNSEL

Mark Neary, Clerk Supreme Court of New Jersey P.O. Box 970 Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Re: In the Matter of Linda M. DeBrango-Berenguer

Docket No. DRB 18-169
District Docket No. VIII-2014-0032E

Dear Mr. Neary:

The Disciplinary Review Board reviewed the motion for discipline by consent (reprimand or such lesser discipline as the Board may determine) filed by the District VIII Ethics Committee (DEC), pursuant to \underline{R} . 1:20-10(b). Following a review of the record, the Board determined to grant the motion. In the Board's view, a reprimand is the appropriate quantum of discipline for respondent's violation of \underline{RPC} 1.1(a).

Specifically, respondent represented grievant, Zoltan J. in a bankruptcy matter. During the course of representation, respondent failed to communicate with the bankruptcy trustee on behalf of her client, failed to appear at a hearing on a motion to dismiss (presumably, to dismiss the Chapter 13 petition), and subsequently failed to request a conversion to a Chapter 7 plan. Respondent also failed to take advantage of the financial assistance that his client's daughter offered, both prior to, and after, the sheriff's sale on his home. In so doing, respondent violated RPC 1.1(a). Respondent's misconduct resulted in significant harm to her client by the foreclosure on his home.

I/M/O Linda M. DeBrango-Berenguer, DRB 18-169
July 23, 2018
Page 2 of 3

Conduct involving gross neglect, commonly found alongside lack of diligence and failure to communicate with the client, has resulted in a reprimand if harm to the client has occurred. See, e.g., In re Bogard, 220 N.J. 44 (2014) (reprimand for gross neglect, lack of diligence, and failure to communicate with a client; the attorney's misconduct in a single client matter resulted in the loss of the client's home at a sheriff's sale; no prior discipline); and In re Uffelman, 200 N.J. 260 (2009) (reprimand for attorney guilty of gross neglect, lack of diligence, and failure to communicate with a client; although the attorney had no disciplinary record, the reprimand was premised on the extensive harm caused to the client, who was forced to shut down his business for three months because of the attorney's failure to represent the client's interests diligently and responsibly).

In mitigation, the Board considered that respondent entered into a stipulation and admitted the facts, evidencing a willingness to take responsibility for her misconduct. Further, she has no history of final discipline.

Nonetheless, the Board determined that the proffered mitigation did not outweigh the aggravating factor of significant harm to the client — foreclosure. Therefore, on balance, the Board determined that respondent's misconduct merits a reprimand.

Enclosed are the following documents:

- 1. Notice of motion for discipline by consent, dated March 19, 2018.
- Stipulation of discipline by consent, dated April 16, 2018.
- 3. Affidavit of consent, dated April 12, 2018.
- 4. Ethics history, dated July 23, 2018.

Very truly yours,

Ellen A. Brodsky

Chief Counsel

EAB/trj Encls.

c: See attached list

```
I/M/O Linda M. DeBrango-Berenguer, DRB 18-169
July 23, 2018
Page 3 of 3
     (w/o enclosures)
c:
     Bonnie C. Frost, Chair
       Disciplinary Review Board (e-mail)
     Joseph R. Zapata, Jr., Presenter
        District VIII Ethics Committee (e-mail)
     Howard Duff, Chair
        District VIII Ethics Committee (e-mail)
     Barry J. Muller, Secretary
        District VIII Ethics Committee (e-mail and regular mail)
     Phillip Nettl, Vice-Chair
        District VIII Ethics Committee (e-mail)
     Isabel K. McGinty, Statewide Ethics Coordinator
        Office of Attorney Ethics (e-mail)
     Robert E. Ramsey, Esq., Respondent's Counsel (e-mail and
        regular mail)
     Zoltan J. Zeisky, Grievant (regular mail)
```