SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY Disciplinary Review Board Docket No. DRB 18-111 District Docket No. XIV-2017-0162E

In The Matter Of Cheri S. Williams Robinson An Attorney At Law

Dissent

Decided: September 19, 2018

To the Honorable Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of New Jersey.

I dissent from the majority and vote to recommend respondent's disbarment. I do so not because of respondent's disciplinary record, but because an attorney who fails to comply with an Order of the Court entered in attorney discipline or fee arbitration matters manifests a disdain for the disciplinary process and the responsibilities attendant to the privilege of being permitted to practice the profession of the law. If the Board were to recommend disbarment, respondent would be compelled to appear before the

Court to explain why she has not complied with the Court's Order requiring the filing of the <u>R.</u> 1:20-20 affidavit. I believe that this should occur.

By such a procedure, I believe that the public and respondent's clients would be protected from the consequences of respondent's suspension and all attorneys would quickly come to understand and appreciate the importance of compliance with the Court's Orders and the grave potential consequences of non-compliance.

> Disciplinary Review Board Maurice J. Gallipoli

By: <u>Killen A. Broch</u> Ellen A. Brodsky

Chief Counsel