

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 17-144, concluding on the record certified to the Board pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-4(f)(default by respondent), that **James D. Brady** of **Merchantville**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1982, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months for violating <u>RPC</u> 1.4(b)(failure to communicate with client), <u>RPC</u> 1.5(c)(on conclusion of contingent fee matter, failure to provide the client with a written statement of the outcome of the matter and, if there was a recovery, showing the remittance to the client and the method of its determination), <u>RPC</u> 1.15(a)(failure to hold client funds separate from the lawyer's funds), <u>RPC</u> 7.3(d)(giving something of value to a person for recommending the lawyer's services), and <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b)(failure to cooperate with disciplinary authorities);

And James D. Brady having failed to appear on the Order directing him to show cause why he should not be disbarred or otherwise disciplined;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **James D. Brady** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months, effective October 26, 2018, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to Rule 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 27<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2018.

**CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT**