	SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-136 September Term 2017		
	:	081108	
In the Matter of			
Francis Charles Babcock, Jr.,	:	ODDED	FILED
An Attorney At Law	•	ORDER	JAN 10 2019
(Attorney No. 009791990)	•		(Heather J Bate
	:		

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 17-348 and DRB 17-446, concluding that **Francis Charles Babcock**, Jr., of Jersey City, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1990, should be censured for his unethical conduct established in DRB 17-348 and DRB 17-446;

And DRB 17-348 having been before the Board as a presentment and the Board having found that respondent violated <u>RPC</u> 1.1(a)(gross neglect), <u>RPC</u> 1.3(lack of diligence), <u>RPC</u> 1.15(b)(failure to promptly notify and deliver funds or property to a client or third party), <u>RPC</u> 1.16(d)(failure to protect client's interests on termination of representation), <u>RPC</u> 3.4(c)(knowingly disobeying an obligation under the rules of a tribunal), <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b)(failure to cooperate with disciplinary authorities), and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d)(conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice);

And DRB 17-445 having been before the Board pursuant to Rule 1:20-

4(f)(default by respondent) and respondent having been deemed to have admitted violations of <u>RPC</u> 1.4(b)(failure to communicate with client) and <u>RPC</u> 1.16 (d)(failure to protect client's interests on termination of representation);

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **Francis Charles Babcock**, Jr., is hereby censured; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 8th day of January, 2019.

Heather J. Bate

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT