## D-177 September Term 2017 081595

In the Matter of

Matthew M. Gorman, : ORDER

An Attorney at Law : MAR 1 6 2020

(Attorney No. 010282012) : (Keither Talker)

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 18-012, concluding on the record certified to the Board pursuant to Rule 1:20-4(f)(default by respondent) that **Matthew M. Gorman**, formerly of **Hackensack**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 2012, and who has been suspended from the practice of law since May 11, 2016, should be suspended for a period of six months for violations of <u>RPC</u> 1.4(b)(failure to communicate with client), <u>RPC</u> 1.5(b)(failure to set forth in writing the basis or rate of the fee), <u>RPC</u> 1.16(declining or terminating representation), <u>RPC</u> 1.1(a)(gross neglect), <u>RPC</u> 1.3(lack of diligence), <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b)(failure to cooperate with disciplinary authorities), and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d)(conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice);

And Matthew M. Gorman having been ordered to show cause why he should not be disbarred or otherwise disciplined;

And the Court having remanded the matter to the Disciplinary Review Board on the application of respondent for consideration of a motion to vacate default, and the Disciplinary Review Board thereafter having reported its denial of respondent's motion to vacate to the Court in a supplemental decision;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that Matthew M. Gorman is suspended from the practice

of law for a period of six months and until the further Order of the Court, effective June 26, 2019; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent remain suspended pursuant to the Orders of this Court filed April 11, 2016, September 28, 2016, and October 17, 2018, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that prior to reinstatement to the practice of law, respondent shall demonstrate his fitness to practice, as attested to by a mental health professional approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2020.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT