SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-107 September Term 2019 084181

In the Matter of

Samuel D. Jackson,

An Attorney At Law

(Attorney No. 130452017)

: FILED : SEP 17 2020 ORDER : Meather f Baken : CLERK

:

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 19-295, concluding that as a matter of final discipline pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-13(c), **Samuel D. Jackson** of **Purchase**, **New York**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 2017, and who has been suspended from the practice of law pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-13 (b) since February 6, 2019, should be suspended from practice for a period of six months, based on respondent's guilty pleas entered in the Supreme Court of New York to two counts of unlawful surveillance in the second degree, a Class E felony, in violation of 250.45 (4) of the <u>Penal Law of the State of New York</u>, conduct that in New Jersey is in violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.4(b)(commission of a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having determined that respondent's suspension from practice should be retroactive to February 6, 2019, the effective date of respondent's temporary suspension from practice pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-13 (b);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having further determined that respondent should continue to receive psychological counseling and that prior to reinstatement to practice, respondent should provide proof of his continued counseling and fitness to practice law and that following reinstatement to practice, respondent should continue to receive psychological counseling and provide proof thereof to the OAE, for a period of two years and until the further Order of the Court;

And **Samuel D. Jackson** having been ordered to show cause why he should not be disbarred or otherwise disciplined;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **Samuel D. Jackson** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year, retroactive to February 6, 2019, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent shall continue to receive psychological counseling and prior to reinstatement to practice, shall provide proof of his continuing participation in counseling and his fitness to practice law, as attested to by a mental health professional approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics; and it is further

ORDERED that following reinstatement to practice, respondent shall continue to receive psychological counseling and to provide proof thereof to the Office of Attorney Ethics, for a period of two years, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 15th day of September, 2020.

Neather Sate

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT