# DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD

#### **OF THE**

### SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

HON. MAURICE J. GALLIPOLI, A.J.S.C. (RET.), CHAIR
ANNE C. SINGER, ESQ., VICE-CHAIR
PETER J. BOYER, ESQ.
JORGE A. CAMPELO
THOMAS J. HOBERMAN
REGINA WAYNES JOSEPH, ESQ.
STEVEN MENAKER, ESQ.
PETER PETROU, ESQ.
EILEEN RIVERA



RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX P.O. BOX 962 TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0962 (609) 815-2920 JOHANNA BARBA JONES CHIEF COUNSEL

TIMOTHY M. ELLIS DEPUTY COUNSEL

BARRY R. PETERSEN, JR.

JESSICA A. CALELLA ROCCO J. CARBONE, III RACHEL J. NGUYEN KATHRYN ANNE WINTERLE ASSISTANT COUNSEL

ASHLEY KOLATA-GUZIK
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

July 16, 2021

## VIA CERTIFIED MAIL, REGULAR MAIL, & ELECTRONIC MAIL

Grant J. Robinson, Esq. c/o Frederic L. Shenkman, Esq. Cooper Levenson, P.A. 1125 Atlantic Avenue – 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Atlantic City, New Jersey 08401 fshenkman@cooperlevenson.com

Re: <u>In the Matters of Grant J. Robinson</u>

Docket Nos. DRB 21-059 and 21-063

District Docket Nos. XIV-2019-0517E and IIIB-2019-0042E

LETTER OF ADMONITION

Dear Mr. Robinson:

The Disciplinary Review Board has reviewed your conduct in the above matters and has concluded that it was improper. Following a review of the record, the Board determined to impose an admonition for your violation of  $\underline{RPC}$  1.15(d) (failure to comply with the recordkeeping requirements of  $\underline{R}$ . 1:21-6). The Board further determined to dismiss the charged violations of  $\underline{RPC}$  1.4(b) and 8.4(c).

Specifically, On April 7, 2020, the OAE performed a demand audit of your financial records, which revealed multiple recordkeeping deficiencies, in violation of RPC 1.15(d), including that your attorney trust account with Wells Fargo did not properly indicate that it was an "Attorney Trust Account," or an "IOLTA Attorney Trust Account;" that no ledger card identified your attorney trust account funds for bank charges; that an inactive balance was left in your attorney trust account; and, with regard to your attorney business account with TD Bank, that there were no business receipts or disbursements journals. Your recordkeeping failures resulted in the return of more than twenty checks, issued to the Superior Court of New Jersey, for insufficient funds.

After considering the written submissions, oral argument, and your admission to misconduct, the Board recognized that your recordkeeping failures were neglectful, but not purposeful. Compare In re Artusa, 246 N.J. 154 (2021) (attorney censured for RPC 1.15(d), RPC 8.1(b), and RPC 8.4(b) and (c) violations for purposefully issuing checks he knew would not be honored).

In determining to dismiss the charged violation of <u>RPC</u> 1.4(b) in the <u>Butts</u> matter, the Board found that there was no evidence in the record that you failed to communicate with your client, in violation of <u>RPC</u> 1.4(b). Additionally, while the Board was troubled by your flawed and disorganized office procedures, there was insufficient evidence to find that you had the requisite <u>mens rea</u> to violate <u>RPC</u> 8.4(c) in connection with the <u>Butts</u> matter. <u>See</u>, <u>e.g.</u>, <u>In the Matter of Ty Hyderally</u>, DRB 11-016 (July 12, 2011). Moreover, you have modified your office procedures to avoid such future lapses.

In imposing only an admonition, the Board considered, in mitigation, that you have no disciplinary history; enjoy a reputation for good character; served in the Armed Forces; readily admitted your misconduct; corrected the recordkeeping errors; took remedial measures to decrease the likelihood of a future recordkeeping violation; and that your actions were not for personal gain and did not injure any client.

Your conduct has adversely reflected not only on you as an attorney but also on all members of the bar. Accordingly, the Board has directed the issuance of this admonition to you.  $\underline{R}$ . 1:20-15(f)(4).

A permanent record of this occurrence has been filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the Board's office. Should you become the subject of any further discipline, this admonition will be taken into consideration.

The Board also has directed that the costs of the disciplinary proceedings be assessed against you. An invoice of costs will be forwarded to you under separate cover.

Very truly yours,

Johanna Barba Jones

Chief Counsel

JBJ/jm

c: See attached list

### <u>I/M/O Grant J. Robinson</u>, DRB 21-059 and 21-063

July 16, 2021

Page 3 of 3

Chief Justice Stuart Rabner

**Associate Justices** 

Heather Joy Baker, Clerk

Supreme Court of New Jersey

Hon. Maurice J. Gallipoli, A.J.S.C. (Ret.), Chair

Disciplinary Review Board (e-mail)

Gail G. Haney, Deputy Clerk

Supreme Court of New Jersey (w/ethics history)

Charles Centinaro, Director

Office of Attorney Ethics (e-mail)

Lauren Martinez, Presenter

Office of Attorney Ethics (e-mail)

Isabel McGinty, Statewide Ethics Coordinator

Office of Attorney Ethics (e-mail)

Carlo Scaramella, Esq., Chair

District IIIB Ethics Committee (e-mail)

Cynthia S. Earl, Esq., Secretary

District IIIB Ethics Committee (regular mail and e-mail)

Jeffrey P. Resnick, Esq., Presenter

District IIIB Ethics Committee (e-mail)

Edna Butts, Grievant (regular mail)