## SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-100 September Term 2020 085681

:

In the Matter of

Ihab Awad Ibrahim,

An Attorney At Law

(Attorney No. 045112013)

FILED AMENDED ORDER

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 20-135, concluding that **Ihab Awad Ibrahim** of **Jersey City**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 2013, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of two years for violating RPC 1.1(a)(gross neglect), RPC 1.3(lack of diligence), RPC 1.4(b)(failure to communicate with client), RPC 1.5(b)(failure to set forth in writing the basis or rate of the fee), RPC 1.15(a)(negligent misappropriation and failure to safeguard client funds), RPC 1.15(d)(failure to comply with the recordkeeping provisions of Rule 1:21-6), RPC 3.3(a)(1)(false statement of material fact or law to a tribunal), RPC 3.2 (failure to expedite litigation), RPC 7.1(b) and RPC 7.3(b)(5)(failure to comply with the Attorney Advertising Rules), RPC 8.4(c)(conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation), and RPC 8.4(d)(conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having concluded that respondent should practice under supervision for a period of two years following his reinstatement to practice;

And the Court having determined from its review of the matter that a one-year suspension from practice, followed by a two-year period of supervision, is the appropriate quantum of discipline for respondent's unethical conduct;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **Ihab Awad Ibrahim** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year, effective April 30, 2022, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that following reinstatement to practice, respondent shall practice under the supervision of a practicing attorney approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics for a period of two years and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering

respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight

Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 5<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2022.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

Heather Sate