D-159 September Term 2020 086111

In the Matter of

:

David Richard Cubby, Jr.,

ORDER

An Attorney At Law

:

(Attorney No. 027222011)

:

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 20-304, concluding that **David Richard Cubby**, **Jr.**, of **Waldwick**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 2011, and who has been temporarily suspended from the practice of law since July 27, 2021, by Order of this Court, should be censured for violating RPC 3.2 (failure to treat all person involved in the legal process with courtesy and consideration), RPC 3.5 (c)(conduct intended to disrupt a tribunal), RPC 8.2(a)(statement made with reckless disregard for the truth or falsity thereof concerning the qualifications of a judge), RPC 8.1(b)(failure to cooperate with disciplinary authorities based on respondent's failure to file a proper answer to the formal ethics complaint), and RPC 8.4(d)(conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice), and good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **David Richard Cubby**, **Jr.**, is hereby censured; and it is further

FILED, Clerk of the Supreme Court, 06 May 2022, 086111

ORDERED that respondent shall enroll in and complete within sixty days

after the filing date of this Order a course in anger management approved by the

Office of Attorney Ethics; and it is further

ORDERED that David Richard Cubby, Jr., remain temporarily suspended

from practice pursuant to the Order of the Court filed July 27, 2021; and it is

further

ORDERED that prior to his reinstatement to practice, respondent shall

demonstrate his fitness to practice law, as attested to by a mental health

professional approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics, and complete a course in

anger management, as required by the Orders of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of

respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight

Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in

the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

Dated: May 3, 2022

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

Trenton, New Jersey