SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-42 September Term 2023 088812

In the Matter of:Joseph V. Campbell, Jr.:An Attorney at Law:(Attorney No. 116212014):

ORDER

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 23-129, recommending as a matter of final discipline pursuant to Rule 1:20-13(c)(2) that Joseph V. Campbell, Jr., formerly of Irvington, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 2014, and who has been temporarily suspended from the practice of law since May 31, 2023, should be indefinitely suspended from the practice of law following respondent's guilty plea and conviction in the Circuit Court of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Miami-Dade County, Florida, for second-degree attempted robbery/carjacking, in violation of Fla. Stat. § 812.133(2)(b), second-degree burglary of an occupied conveyance, in violation of Fla. Stat. § 810.02(3)(d), third-degree grand theft of a vehicle, in violation of Fla. Stat. § 812.014(2)(c)(6), second-degree aggravated battery with a deadly weapon, in violation of Fla. Stat. § 784.045(1)(a)(2), and first-degree misdemeanor battery, in violation of Fla.

Stat. § 784.03, and for violating RPC 8.4(b) (committing a criminal act that reflects adversely on a lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer in other respects);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having further recommended that as a condition precedent to reinstatement, respondent must submit proof to the Office of Attorney Ethics of respondent's fitness to practice law, as attested to by a medical doctor approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics;

And the Court having determined that a one-year retroactive suspension from the practice of law is the appropriate quantum of discipline for respondent's unethical conduct;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **Joseph V. Campbell, Jr.** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year, retroactive to May 31, 2023, and until further order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent shall provide to the Office of Attorney Ethics proof of respondent's fitness to practice law, as attested to by a medical doctor approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics, as a precondition of reinstatement; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

2

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 2nd day of April, 2024.

Neather Bata

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT