

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-134 September Term 2005

IN THE MATTER OF

.

AVROHOM BECKER,

ORDER

AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

(Attorney No. 003051988) :

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 06-044, concluding that as a matter of reciprocal discipline pursuant to Rule 1:20-14, AVROHOM BECKER of NEW YORK, NEW YORK, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1988, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months based on discipline imposed in New York for conduct that reflects adversely on respondent's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer and that would constitute violation of RPC 8.4(c), (conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation) and RPC 8.4(d) (conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having determined that the effective date of the suspension should be the date on which respondent's New York suspension from practice commenced;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that AVROHOM BECKER is suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months and until the further Order of the Court, retroactive to November 10, 2005; and it is further

ORDERED that AVROHOM BECKER comply with Rule 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of Rule 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance;—(2) be found to constitute a violation of RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(c); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to Rule 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Deborah T. Poritz, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 9th day of June, 2006.

JUN 15 2006

ORIGINAL

THE SUPREME

DISCIPLINARY REVI

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in my office.

STOPHELL THUSENS OF THE

ERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
OF NEW JERSFY