# DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD

#### OF THE

### SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

ROCKY L. PETERSON, ESQ., CHAIR
MARY J. MAUDSLEY, ESQ., VICE-CHAIR
MATTHEW P. BOYLAN, ESQ.
HON. WARREN BRODY
RUTH JEAN LOLLA
WILLIAM J. O'SHAUGHNESSY, ESQ.
LOUIS PASHMAN, ESQ.
BARBARA F. SCHWARTZ
SPENCER V. WISSINGER, III



RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX P. O. BOX 962 TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0962 (609) 292-1011 ROBYN M. HILL

ISABEL FRANK

LILLIAN LEWIN
DONA S. SEROTA-TESCHNER
COLIN T. TAMS
ELLEN A. BRODSKY
JOANN G. EYLER
ASSISTANT COUNSEL

October 5, 2001

## Certified Mail - R.R.R. and Regular Mail

Peter K. Moutis, Esq. 171-173 Main Street, Suite 201 Hackensack, New Jersey 07601

Re:

In the Matter of Peter K. Moutis

Docket No. DRB 00-047

LETTER OF ADMONITION

Dear Mr. Moutis:

The Disciplinary Review Board has reviewed your conduct in the above matter and has concluded that it was improper. Specifically, in January 1990 you represented John and Maria Zaferiou in connection with the refinancing of a mortgage loan by Citibank. The title search performed in connection with the closing revealed a judgment in favor of International Fidelity and Insurance Company (IFIC) in the approximate amount of \$14,000. Prior to the closing, you attempted to obtain from IFIC's counsel a payoff figure for the judgment, but you were unsuccessful. After the closing, you held the \$14,000 earmarked for the judgment in your trust account, expecting to receive a payoff figure from IFIC. Because of outstanding business issues between IFIC and your clients' company, however, IFIC never provided you with the payoff figure.

Eventually, your clients defaulted on their mortgage payments to Citibank. In November 1991 Citibank filed a foreclosure action, in which IFIC was named as a defendant. When you heard from Citibank's attorney that IFIC had consented to "dismiss the foreclosure action," at your client's request you released the \$14,000 to Citibank, in order to forestall the foreclosure action. You did not receive authorization from IFIC to disburse the escrow funds to Citibank. Your failure to safeguard escrow funds was unethical and in violation of <u>RPC</u> 1.15.

### I/M/O Peter K. Moutis

In addition, a demand audit of your attorney books and records revealed several deficiencies in your recordkeeping practices, in violation of  $\underline{RPC}$  1.15(d) and  $\underline{R}$ .1:21-6.

In imposing only an admonition, the Board noted that no disciplinary infractions have been sustained against you since your admission to the New Jersey bar in 1985.

Your conduct adversely reflected not only upon you as an attorney, but also upon all members of the bar. Accordingly, the Board has directed the issuance of this admonition to you.  $\underline{R}$ . 1:20-15(f)(4).

A permanent record of this occurrence has been filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the Board's office. Should you become the subject of any further discipline, it will be taken into consideration.

The Board has also directed that the costs of the disciplinary proceedings be assessed against you. An affidavit of costs will be forwarded under separate cover.

Very truly yours,

Robyn M. Hill

### RMH:ms

c. Chief Justice Deborah T. Poritz
Associate Justices
Stephen W. Townsend, Clerk
Supreme Court of New Jersey
Rocky L. Peterson, Chair
Disciplinary Review Board
David E. Johnson, Jr., Director
Office of Attorney Ethics
Russell Malta, Chair
District IX Ethics Committee
Kathleen Sheedy, Secretary
District IX Ethics Committee
Steven Milazzo, Respondent's counsel
C.F. Arbachesky, Grievant