


IN THE MATTER OF  
JOSE LUIS DEL CASTILLO SALAMANCA,  
AN ATTORNEY AT LAW  
(Attorney No. 007381993)

:  
**FILED**  
: **JUN 20 2011** ORDER  
:  
  
: CLERK

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 10-238, concluding that as a matter of final discipline pursuant to Rule 1:20-13(c), **JOSE LUIS DEL CASTILLO SALAMANCA** of **HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1993, and who has been temporarily suspended from the practice of law since October 6, 2008, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of two years;

And the determination of the Disciplinary Review Board being based on respondent's plea of guilty in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut to one count of document fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2 and § 1546(a), conduct that in New Jersey violates RPC 8.4(b) (criminal act reflecting on honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having determined that the term of suspension should be retroactive to October 6, 2008;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **JOSE LUIS DEL CASTILLO SALAMANCA** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of two years,

retroactive to October 6, 2008, and until the further Order of the Court, and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further


ORDERED that respondent comply with Rule 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further


ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of Rule 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(c); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to Rule 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 14th day of January, 2011.

The foregoing is a true copy  
of the original on file in my office.

  
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT  
OF NEW JERSEY

  
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT