

**FILED**

IN THE MATTER OF

ANTHONY N. PICILLO,

MAR 30 2011

ORDER

AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

(Attorney No. 005791991)

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 10-233, in which a five-member majority concluded that **ANTHONY N. PICILLO** of **WEST ORANGE**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1991, should be censured for violating RPC 1.8(a) (prohibited business transaction with client), RPC 1.15(a) (negligent misappropriation of funds), RPC 1.15(d) (recordkeeping violations), RPC 8.1(a) (false statement in connection with a disciplinary matter), and RPC 8.4(c) (conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation);

And four members of the Disciplinary Review Board having concluded that respondent should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months;

And **ANTHONY N. PICILLO** having been ordered to show cause why he should not be disbarred or otherwise disciplined;

And the Court having determined from its review of the matter that a period of suspension is required because of the seriousness of respondent's conduct in violation of RPC 8.4(c), taken together with the other unethical conduct established;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **ANTHONY N. PICILLO** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months and until the further Order of the Court, effective April 29, 2011; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this


State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with Rule 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of Rule 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(c); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to Rule 1:10-2; and it is further


ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 29th day of March, 2011.



CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

The foregoing is a true copy  
of the original on file in my office.



CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT  
OF NEW JERSEY