SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY Disciplinary Review Board Docket No. DRB 13-356 District Docket No. XIV-2011-0636E and Docket No. DRB 13-357 District Docket No. XIV-2011-0637E

IN THE MATTER OF DONALD J. GRASSO

AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

IN THE MATTER OF

DALE S. ORLOVSKY

AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

Dissent

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

The majority has recommended that respondents receive a twoyear suspension, retroactive to the date of their respective temporary suspensions. I dissent from that recommendation for the reasons that follow and recommend their disbarment.

As I have maintained in previous dissenting opinions, such as in <u>In the Matter of Edward G. Engelhart</u>, DRB 13-271 and <u>In the</u> <u>Matter of Goldie C. Sommer</u>, DRB 13-272 (February 10, 2014), I do not adhere to the belief that any criminal conviction should <u>per se</u> result in a respondent's disbarment. However, I do continue to believe that, regardless of past precedent, with which I respectfully disagree, because of the predicate acts that give rise to certain criminal convictions, disbarment, not suspension, is the only appropriate measure of discipline that will preserve the confidence of the public in the bar. Such is the situation here.

Again, we are faced with a case where respondents admitted to participating in a scheme based on fraud and dishonesty - in this matter, tax evasion. I write here only to reiterate my previous concerns. How can we expect the public to put their trust in any member of the bar if we continue to allow those who violate that trust in such an egregious manner to be licensed attorneys?

acknowledge that, in determining the Ι do appropriate discipline in a given case, the interests of the public, the bar and a respondent must be considered and that the primary purpose of discipline is not to punish the attorney, but to preserve the confidence of the public in the bar. However, I respectfully submit that, in certain situations - and this is one of them illegal conduct deals with honesty where the the and trustworthiness of the lawyer, the public's confidence in the bar can only be served by disbarment.

> Disciplinary Review Board Maurice J. Gallipoli

By:

Isabel Frank Acting Chief Counsel

2