

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
D-117 September Term 2006

IN THE MATTER OF
THEODORE F. KOZLOWSKI,
AN ATTORNEY AT LAW
(Attorney No. 024181978)

:
:
:
:
:

ORDER

FILED
SEP 12 2007
~~John J. ...~~

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 06-290, concluding that **THEODORE F. KOZLOWSKI**, formerly of **MORRISTOWN**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1978, and who has been suspended from the practice of law since October 13, 2004, pursuant to Orders of the Court filed on September 16, 2004, and May 5, 2005, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year for violating RPC 1.3(lack of diligence), RPC 1.4(c)(failure to advise client so client can make informed decisions about the representation), RPC 3.2(failure to expedite litigation), and RPC 1.15(b)(failure to refund retainer as ordered by the Court);

And **THEODORE F. KOZLOWSKI** having been ordered to show cause why he should not be disbarred or otherwise disciplined;

And good cause appearing;

And the Court having determined that the one-year term of suspension should be consecutive to the two-year period of suspension ordered by the Court this date in D-24-06 (DRB 06-211); and it is further

ORDERED that **THEODORE F. KOZLOWSKI** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year, effective September 13,

2009, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further
ORDERED that on reinstatement to the practice of law,
respondent shall not practice as a sole practitioner until the
further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a
permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this
State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with Rule 1:20-20 dealing
with suspended attorneys; and it is further
ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 1:20-20(c), respondent's
failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of
Rule 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review
Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement
for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files
proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of
RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(c); and (3) provide a basis for an action
for contempt pursuant to Rule 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight
Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual
expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided
in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at
Trenton, this 10th day of September, 2007.

The foregoing is a true copy of the
original on file in my office.

Richard J. ...
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
OF NEW JERSEY

Stuart Rabner
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

