SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
D-149 September Term 2005

FILED OCT 0 7 2005

IN THE MATTER OF

HOWARD S. DIAMOND,

AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

Septen Wound

ORDER

(Attorney No. 038031985)

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 05-080, concluding that HOWARD S. DIAMOND of RANDOLPH, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1985, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year for violating RPC 1.1(a) (gross neglect), RPC 1.1(b) (pattern of neglect), RPC 1.3(lack of diligence), RPC 1.4(a) (failure to explain a matter to client), RPC 1.15(b) (failure to promptly deliver funds to client or third person), RPC 1.16() (2) (failure to withdraw from representation where physical or mental condition impairs ability to represent client), and RPC 8.1(b) (failure to respond to requests of disciplinary authority);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having further concluded that the Office of Attorney Ethics should conduct an audit of respondent's attorney accounts to locate and ensure the distribution of \$12,000 in the Green matter;

And the Disciplinary Review Board having further concluded that prior to reinstatement to practice, respondent should be required to submit proof of his fitness to practice law and that on reinstatement, respondent should be required to practice under

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that HOWARD S. DIAMOND is suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year and until the further Order of the Court, effective November 1, 2005; and it is further

ORDERED that the Office of Attorney Ethics shall conduct an audit of respondent's attorney accounts to locate and ensure disbursement of funds in the amount of \$12,000 in the Green matter; and it is further

ORDERED that prior to reinstatement to practice, respondent shall submit proof of his fitness to practice law as attested to by a mental health professional approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics; and it is further

ORDERED that on reinstatement to practice respondent shall practice under the supervision of a practicing attorney approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent be restrained and enjoined from practicing law during the period of suspension and that respondent comply with Rule 1:20-20; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of Rule 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(c); and (3) provide a basis for an action

for contempt pursuant to Rule 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs incurred in the prosecution of this matter.

WITNESS, the Honorable Deborah T. Poritz, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 5th day of October, 2005.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in my office.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

OCT 1 2 2005

ORIGINAL
DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD