SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-135 September Term 2004

IN THE MATTER OF

DONALD M. ROHAN,

JUL 1 5 2005 Sophen Wasend

ORDER

AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

(Attorney No. 033721996)

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 05-062, concluding that DONALD M. ROHAN of MAPLEWOOD, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1996, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months for violating RPC 1.1(a) (gross neglect), RPC 1.1(b) (pattern of neglect), RPC 1.2(a) (failure to abide by client's decision whether to accept offer of settlement), RPC 1.4(a) (failure to communicate with client), RPC 1.4(b) (failure to explain matter to extent necessary to permit client to make informed decisions), RPC 1.16(a)(2)(failure to withdraw from representation when lawyer's physical or mental condition materially impairs ability to represent client), and RPC 8.4(c) (conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation);

And the Disciplinary Review Board further having concluded that prior to reinstatement to practice, respondent should submit proof of his fitness to practice law;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that DONALD M. ROHAN is suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months and until the

further Order of the Court, effective August 10; 2005; and it is further

ORERED that prior to reinstatement to practice, respondent shall provide proof of his fitness to practice law as attested to by a mental health professional approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent be restrained and enjoined from practicing law during the period of suspension and that respondent comply with <u>Rule 1:20-20</u>; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(c); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs incurred in the prosecution of this matter.

WITNESS, the Honorable Deborah T. Poritz, Chief Justice, at The Toesgong is this copy of the original on file in my office.

JUL 19 2005

ORIGINAL AISCIPLINABY REVIEW CARD

OF NEW JERSEY

OF THE SUPREME COURT

