

JUL 2 2 2005

IN THE MATTER OF VINCENT M. YACAVINO,

m chen til banand

ORDER

AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

(Attorney No. 220211964)

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 04-426, concluding that VINCENT M. YACAVINO of MENDHAM, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1964, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of six months for violating <u>RPC</u> 3.1(filing a frivolous complaint), <u>RPC</u> 3.2(failing to expedite litigation), <u>RPC</u> 3.4(g) (presenting or threatening to present criminal charges to obtain an improper advantage in a civil matter), <u>RPC</u> 3.5(c) (engaging in conduct intended to disrupt a tribunal), and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d) (engaging in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice);

And the Disciplinary Review Board further having concluded that prior to reinstatement to practice, respondent should be required to provide proof of his fitness to practice law;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **VINCENT M. YACAVINO** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of six months and until the further Order of the Court, effective August 15, 2005; and it is further

ORDERED that prior to reinstatement to practice, respondent shall provide proof of his fitness to practice law as attested to by a mental health professional approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent be restrained and enjoined from practicing law during the period of suspension and that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(c); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs incurred in the prosecution of this matter.

WITNESS, the Honorable Deborah T. Poritz, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 20th day of July, 2005.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in my office.

John 2 mus

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

CLERK OF THE BUDDREN JUL 26 2005 ORIGINAL DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD