DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD

OF THE

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

MARY J. MAUDSLEY, ESQ., CHAIR
WILLIAM J. O'SHAUGHNESSY, ESQ., VICE-CHAIR
MATTHEW P. BOYLAN, ESQ.
ROBERT C. HOLMES, ESQ.
RUTH JEAN LOLLA
LOUIS PASHMAN, ESQ.
BARBARA F. SCHWARTZ
HON. REGINALD STANTON
SPENCER V. WISSINGER, III



RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX P. O. BOX 962 TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0962 (609) 292-1011

May 22, 2003

ROBYN M. HILL CHIEF COUNSEL

ISABEL FRANK

LILLIAN LEWIN
DONA S. SEROTA-TESCHNER
COLIN T. TAMS
ELLEN A. BRODSKY
JOANN G. EYLER
ASSISTANT COUNSEL

CERTIFIED MAIL - R.R.R. AND REGULAR MAIL

Jay Edelstein, Esq.

REDACTED - CONFIDENTIAL

Re:

In the Matter of Jay Edelstein

Docket No. DRB 03-092

LETTER OF ADMONITION

Dear Mr. Edelstein:

The Disciplinary Review Board has reviewed your conduct in the above matter and has concluded that it was improper. Specifically, you sent a letter to an individual soliciting professional employment, without observing the requirements of RPC 7.3(b)(5). The letter did not include the word "ADVERTISEMENT," did not caution the individual to give the matter careful thought before choosing an attorney and did not include the information on how to report inaccurate or misleading information to the Committee on Attorney Advertising. In imposing only an admonition, the Board considered that you sent a single solicitation letter and that your conduct was distinguishable from that of attorneys who sent targeted direct mail solicitation letters to numerous individuals and contained statements that were false or otherwise improper.

The Board also found that, at least prior to August 2001, your office arrangement did not strictly comply with the <u>bona fide</u> office rule. Because, however, you developed a system to actively address clients' and courts' inquiries when you were not in the New Jersey office, the Board determined that the violation was merely technical in nature and not deserving of additional discipline. <u>In re Young</u>, 144 <u>N.J.</u> 165 (1996) (admonition for failure to maintain a <u>bona fide</u> office; attorney's representation of an estate was his only

In the Matter of Jay Edelstein Docket No. DRB 03-092

New Jersey matter); and <u>In the Matter of Basil D. Beck, III</u>, DRB 95-160 (February 20, 1996) (admonition for failure to maintain a <u>bona fide</u> office; mitigating factors included attorney's belief that his office met the requirements of the rule and his swift actions to remedy the deficiencies).

Your conduct adversely reflected not only upon you as an attorney, but also upon all members of the bar. Accordingly, the Board has directed the issuance of this admonition to you. $\underline{R}.1:20-15(f)(4)$.

A permanent record of this occurrence has been filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the Board's office. Should you become the subject of any further discipline, it will be taken into consideration.

The Board has also directed that the costs of the disciplinary proceedings be assessed against you. An affidavit of costs will be forwarded under separate cover.

Very truly yours,

Koly M. Hill Robyn M. Hill

RMH:ns

c: Chief Justice Deborah T. Poritz

Associate Justices

Stephen W. Townsend, Clerk, Supreme Court of New Jersey

Mary J. Maudsley, Chair, Disciplinary Review Board

David E. Johnson, Jr., Director, Office of Attorney Ethics

Stan R. Gregory, Chair, District IIIB Ethics Committee

Cynthia S. Earl, Secretary, District IIIB Ethics Committee

Robert N. Agre, Esq., Respondent's Counsel

Harvey Mitnick, Esq., Grievant