

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
Disciplinary Review Board
Docket Nos. DRB 17-343 and 17-354
District Docket Nos. XIV-2016-0480E;
XIV-2016-0432E; and XIV-2017-0231E

IN THE MATTER OF
WAYNE A. AUTRY
AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Dissent

Decided: April 2, 2018


To the Honorable Chief Justice and Associate Justices of
the Supreme Court of New Jersey.

I dissent from the majority and vote to recommend
respondent's disbarment. I do so not because of respondent's
disciplinary record, but because an attorney who fails to comply
with an Order of the Court entered in attorney discipline or fee
arbitration matters manifests a disdain for the disciplinary
process and the responsibilities attendant to the privilege of
being permitted to practice the profession of the law. If the
Board were to recommend disbarment, respondent would be
compelled to appear before the Court to explain why he or she

has not complied with the Court's Order requiring the filing of the R. 1:20-20 affidavit. I believe that this should occur.

By such a procedure, I believe that the public and respondent's clients would be protected from the consequences of respondent's suspension and all attorneys would quickly come to understand and appreciate the importance of compliance with the Court's Orders and the grave potential consequences of non-compliance.

Disciplinary Review Board
Maurice J. Gallipoli

By: 
Ellen A. Brodsky
Chief Counsel