

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
Disciplinary Review Board  
Docket No. DRB 18-056  
District Docket Nos. XIV-2015-0456E;  
XIV-2016-0247E; and XIV-2016-0464E

---

IN THE MATTER OF  
AILEEN MERRILL SCHLISSEL  
AN ATTORNEY AT LAW

---

:  
:  
:  
:  
:           Dissent  
:  
:


Decided: August 3, 2018

To the Honorable Chief Justice and Associate Justices of  
the Supreme Court of New Jersey.

I dissent from the majority and vote to recommend  
respondent's disbarment. I do so not because of respondent's  
disciplinary record, but because an attorney who fails to comply  
with an Order of the Court entered in an attorney discipline  
matter manifests a disdain for the disciplinary process and the  
responsibilities attendant to the privilege of being permitted  
to practice the profession of the law. If the Board were to  
recommend disbarment, respondent would be compelled to appear  
before the Court to explain why she has not complied with the  
Court's Order requiring the filing of the R. 1:20-20 affidavit.  
I believe that this should occur.

By such a procedure, I believe that the public and respondent's clients would be protected from the consequences of respondent's suspension and all attorneys would quickly come to understand and appreciate the importance of compliance with the Court's Orders and the grave potential consequences of non-compliance.

Disciplinary Review Board  
Maurice J. Gallipoli

By:   
Ellen A. Brodsky  
Chief Counsel