

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
D-90 September Term 2018
082520

In the Matter of :
:
Rhashea Lynn Harmon, :
:
An Attorney At Law :
:
(Attorney No. 040562011) :
:

FILED

NOV 07 2018


CLERK

ORDER

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 18-302, concluding on the record certified to the Board pursuant to Rule 1:20-4(f)(default by respondent), that **Rhashea Lynn Harmon** of **Philadelphia, Pennsylvania**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 2012, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months for violating RPC 1.4(b)(failure to communicate with client), RPC 1.16(c)(failure to comply with applicable law when terminating a representation), RPC 1.16(d)(on termination of the representation, failure to take steps reasonably practicable to protect a client's interests), RPC 8.1(b)(failure to cooperate with disciplinary authorities), and RPC 8.4(d)(conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice);

And respondent having failed to appear on the Order to Show Cause issued in this matter, and the Court having held in In re Kivler, 193 N.J. 332

(2008) that a respondent's unexcused failure to comply with an Order to Show Cause may be a basis for enhanced discipline;

And the Court having determined from its review of the matter that respondent should be suspended from practice for an indeterminate period of time for her unethical conduct;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **Rhashea Lynn Harmon** is suspended from the practice of law for an indeterminate period pursuant to Rule 1:20-15A(a)(2), effective December 4, 2019, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent shall not petition for reinstatement to practice for a period of five years from the effective date of suspension; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with Rule 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of Rule 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a

violation of RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to Rule 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 4th day of November, 2019.



CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT