D-47 September Term 2019 083714

In the Matter of

Daniel B. Zonies,

An Attorney At Law

(Attorney No. 261851970)

FILED

:

ORDER

(Heather & Bate

This matter having been duly presented pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-10(b), following a granting of a motion for discipline by consent in DRB 19-312 of **Daniel B. Zonies** of **Cherry Hill**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1970;

And the Office of Attorney Ethics and respondent having signed a stipulation of discipline by consent in which it was agreed that respondent violated <u>RPC</u> 1.15(a)(commingling of personal and client funds, failure to safeguard funds. and negligent misappropriation of client funds) and <u>RPC</u> 1.15(d)(failure to comply with recordkeeping requirements of R. 1:21-6);

And the parties having agreed that respondent's conduct violated <u>RPC</u> 1.15(a), <u>RPC</u> 1.15(d) and <u>Rule</u> 1:21-6, and that said conduct warrants a three-month suspension or such lesser discipline as the Board deems appropriate;

And the Disciplinary Review Board having determined that a three-

month suspension from practice is the appropriate discipline for respondent's unethical conduct and having granted the motion for discipline by consent in District Docket No. XIV-2016-0551E;

And the Disciplinary Review Board having submitted the record of the proceedings to the Clerk of the Supreme Court for the entry of an order of discipline in accordance with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-16(e);

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **Daniel B. Zonies** of **Cherry Hill** is hereby suspended from the practice of law for a period of three-months, effective January 6, 2020; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent

part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight

Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 6th day of December, 2019.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT