## D-150 September Term 2020 086053

In the Matter of

John Charles Allen,

FILED

:

ORDER

An Attorney At Law

MAR 11 2022

(Attorney No. 050991994)

Heather & Bake

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 21-028, concluding that **John Charles Allen** of **New Brunswick**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1995, and who has been suspended from practice pursuant to Orders of the Court since July 6, 2021, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year for violating RPC 1.15 (d) (failure to comply with recordkeeping rules) and RPC 8.1(b)(failure to cooperate with disciplinary authorities), consecutive to the three-month suspension the Board determined should be imposed in DRB 20-296;

And the Disciplinary Review Board having further determined that following his reinstatement to practice, respondent should be required to practice under supervision for a period of at least one year;

And the Court having determined from its review of the matter that the appropriate quantum of discipline for respondent's unethical conduct is a

three-month period of suspension, consecutive to the three-month suspension ordered this date in D-140-20 <u>IMO John Charles Allen</u> (086005), together with a period of supervised practice following reinstatement;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **John Charles Allen** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months and until the further Order of the Court, effective June 11, 2022; and it is further

ORDERED that following reinstatement to practice, respondent shall practice law under the supervision of a practicing attorney approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics for a period of one year and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that **John Charles Allen** shall remain suspended from practice pursuant to the Orders of suspension issued by the Court, pending his compliance with the terms of said Orders and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering

respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight

Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 8<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2022.

Heather Saken

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT