D-29 September Term 2021 086375

:

In the Matter of

Sunila D. Dutt,

FILED

ORDER

An Attorney At Law

MAR 25 2022

(Attorney No. 022872011)

(Heather & Baken

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 21-064, concluding that as a matter of final discipline pursuant to Rule 1:20-13(c)(2), Sunila D. Dutt, formerly of Ashland, Virginia, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 2012, and who has been temporarily suspended from practice pursuant to Rule 1:20-13 (b) (1) since October 31, 2016, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of eighteen months, retroactive to the date of her temporary suspension, following respondent's conviction in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey based on a guilty plea to one count of conspiracy to commit visa fraud and to obstruct justice in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 371, 1519, and 1546 (a);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having determined that respondent's criminal conviction constitutes conduct in violation of RPC 8.4(b)(committing a criminal act that reflects adversely on a lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer in other respects) and RPC 8.4 (c) (engaging in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation);

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **Sunila D. Dutt** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of eighteen months and until the further Order of the Court,

effective October 31, 2016; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with Rule 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of Rule 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to Rule 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 22nd day of March, 2022.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

Heather)