	SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-156 September Term 2020
	: 086091
In the Matter of	
	:
Markis Miguel Abraham,	FILED _{ORDER}
An Attorney At Law	APR 28 2022
(Attorney No. 022752008)	Cheather & Baken CLERK

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 20-325, concluding that **Markis Miguel Abraham** of **Jersey City**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 2008, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months for violating RPC 1.1(a) (gross neglect), RPC 1.3 (lack of diligence), RPC 1.8(a) (improper business transaction with a client), and RPC 1.15(a) (commingling of funds);

And the Court having ordered **Markis Miguel Abraham** to show cause why he should not be disbarred or otherwise disciplined;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **Markis Miguel Abraham** is hereby suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months, effective May 30, 2022, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that prior to and following reinstatement to practice, respondent shall demonstrate ongoing good faith efforts to make payments to satisfy the consent judgment dated August 7, 2020, in the matter of Estate of Bernice Perkins v. Markis Abraham, Esq., Docket No. HUD-L-001638-20; and

it is further

ORDERED that Markis Miguel Abraham comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 26th day of April, 2022.

Neather Sate

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT