	SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-23 September Term 2021
	086310
	:
In the Matter of	
	:
Joseph Vaccaro,	
	: ORDER
An Attorney At Law	
	:
(Attorney No. 0018601999)	
	•

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 21-070, concluding on the record certified to the Board pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-4(f)(default by respondent) that **Joseph Vaccaro** of **Philadelphia**, **Pennsylvania**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1999, should be suspended from practice for a period of six months for violating <u>RPC</u> 1.1 (a) (gross neglect), <u>RPC</u> 1.3 (lack of diligence), RPC 1.4 (b) (failure to communicate with client), <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) (failure to cooperate with disciplinary authorities), and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(c) (conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having determined that respondent should be required to complete certain continuing legal education courses and to practice law under supervision for a period of two years following his reinstatement to practice; And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **Joseph Vaccaro** be suspended from the practice of law for a period of six months, effective June 16, 2022, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that **Joseph Vaccaro** complete six credits of courses in professional responsibility and law office management, which shall be approved in advance by the Office of Attorney Ethics, taken within ninety days after the filing of this Order, and which shall be in addition to the credits required for respondent's compliance with Rule 1:42; and it is further

ORDERED that on reinstatement to the practice of law, respondent shall practice under the supervision of a practicing attorney approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics in accordance with Rule 1:20-18 for a period of two years and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 17<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2022.

Neather Sater

**CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT**