SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-15 September Term 2021 086277

In the Matter of Brian LeBon Calpin, An Attorney At Law (Attorney No. 032252001) : FILED ORDER SEP 22 2022 : CLERK

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 21-082, concluding on the record certified to the Board pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-14(f) (default by respondent) that **Brian LeBon Calpin** of **Medford**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 2001, and who has been suspended from the practice of law since January 20, 2020, should be suspended from practice for a period of eighteen months, consecutive to the one-year term of suspension ordered by the Court to be effective May 7, 2020, for violating <u>RPC</u> 1.3(lack of diligence), <u>RPC</u> 1.4(b)(failure to keep client reasonably informed and to reply to reasonable requests for information), <u>RPC</u> 1.16(d)(failure to protect a client's interests on termination of the representation and to refund the unearned portion of a fee), <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b)(failure to cooperate with ethics authorities), and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d)(conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having further determined that

respondent should be required to refund the fee paid to him in the <u>Homan</u> matter and that following reinstatement to practice, respondent should be required to practice law under supervision;

And **Brian LeBon Calpin** having failed to appear on the Order directing him to show cause why he should not be disbarred or otherwise disciplined;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **Brian LeBon Calpin** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of eighteen months, effective May 7, 2021, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent shall remain suspended from the practice of law pursuant to the Orders of this Court filed December 20, 2019, and May 7, 2020, and pending his repayment of the fee in the <u>Homan</u> matter, compliance with the determination of the District IIIB Fee Arbitration determination in District Docket No. IIIB-2018-0008F, and payment of the sanction to the Disciplinary Oversight Committee, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that on reinstatement to the practice of law, respondent shall practice under the supervision of a practicing attorney approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics for a period of two years and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 12th day of September, 2022.

Neather Bate

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT