

Supreme Court of New Jersey
Disciplinary Review Board
Docket No. DRB 22-149
District Docket No. XIV-2021-0341E

In the Matter of
Neal E. Brunson
An Attorney at Law

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Dissent

Decided: January 17, 2023

To the Honorable Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of New Jersey.

Once again, I dissent from my colleagues and vote to recommend respondent's disbarment, not because of respondent's disciplinary record, but because an attorney who fails to comply with an Order of the Court to file the affidavit that R. 1:20-20 requires of all suspended attorneys, in my humble opinion, manifests a disdain for the disciplinary process and the responsibilities attendant to the privilege of being permitted to practice the profession of the law.

I am fully aware that the Court has rejected a recommendation by the Disciplinary Review Board to address the recurring failure of suspended respondents to comply with the requirements of R. 1:20-20. While I disagree with the Court's rejection, I respect the Court's decision and mean no disrespect by filing a dissent in this case and in similar cases in the future. Absent enactment of the Board's recommendation or some other remedial rule change to address this problem, I will continue to vote for disbarment so as to compel respondents to appear before the Court to explain why they have not complied with the Court's Order requiring the filing of the R. 1:20-20 affidavit.

By such a procedure, I believe that the public and respondent's clients would be protected from the consequences of respondent's suspension and all attorneys would quickly come to understand and appreciate the importance of compliance with the Court's Orders and the grave potential consequences of non-compliance.

Disciplinary Review Board
Hon. Maurice J. Gallipoli, A.J.S.C. (Ret.),
Chair

By: /s/ Timothy M. Ellis
Timothy M. Ellis
Acting Chief Counsel