D-123 September Term 2021 087325

:

In the Matter of

:

David R. Waldman,

ORDER

An Attorney At Law

:

(Attorney No. 011892002)

:

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 22-012, concluding that as a matter of final discipline pursuant to Rule 1:20-13(c)(2), David R. Waldman of New York, New York, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 2005, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of three years following respondent's guilty plea and conviction in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, to one count of cyberstalking, contrary to 18 U.S.C. § 2261A(2)(B), conduct in violation of RPC 8.4(b)(commission of a criminal act that reflects adversely on a lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having determined that prior to reinstatement to practice, respondent should be required to submit to the Office of Attorney Ethics proof of his continued sobriety and treatment for substance abuse and proof of his fitness to practice law, as attested to by a medical doctor approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that David R. Waldman is suspended from the practice

of law for a period of three years, and until the further Order of the Court, effective immediately; and it is further

ORDERED that prior to reinstatement to practice, **David R. Waldman** shall submit to the Office of Attorney Ethics proof of his continued sobriety and treatment for substance abuse and proof of his fitness to practice law, as attested to by a medical doctor approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 7th day of February, 2023.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

Heather Sate