## D-9 September Term 2022 087503

In the Matter of

Darryl M. Saunders,

FILED ORDER

An Attorney At Law

MAY 3 0 2023

:

(Attorney No. 003841990)

(Heather & Baken

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 22-092, concluding that **Darryl M. Saunders** of **Trenton**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1990, and who has been suspended from the practice of law since April 2, 2020 by Order of the Court filed March 3, 2020, should be suspended from the practice of law for a period of six-months for violating RPC 1.3 (lack of diligence), RPC 1.16(d)(failure to refund the unearned potion of the fee upon termination of representation), RPC 8.4(b)(committing a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer), and RPC 8.4(c)(engaging in conduct involving, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation); and good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **Darryl M. Saunders** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of six months, effective June 19, 2023; and it is further

ORDERED that **Darryl M. Saunders** remain suspended from the practice of law pursuant to the Orders of the Court filed March 3, 2020 and, April 22, 2021, and until the further Order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of <u>RPC</u> 8.1(b) and <u>RPC</u> 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 16<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2023.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT