D-125 September Term 2023 089699

In the Matter of :

David L. Rosenthal :

ORDER

An Attorney at Law :

(Attorney No. 029042010) :

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 24-018, recommending on the record certified to the Board pursuant to Rule 1:20-4(f) (default by respondent) that David L. Rosenthal of Freehold, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 2010, should be suspended for three months for violating RPC 1.1(a) (engaging in gross neglect), RPC 1.3 (lacking diligence), RPC 1.4(b) (failing to keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and to comply with reasonable requests for information), RPC 8.1(b) (failing to cooperate with disciplinary authorities), RPC 8.4(c) (engaging in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation), and RPC 8.4(d) (engaging in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice); and

The Disciplinary Review Board having further recommended that as a condition precedent to reinstatement, respondent be required to provide proof

to the Office of Attorney Ethics of respondent's fitness to practice law, as attested to by a medical doctor approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **David L. Rosenthal** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of three months, and until further order of the Court, effective November 17, 2024; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent shall provide to the Office of Attorney

Ethics proof of respondent's fitness to practice law, as attested to by a medical doctor approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics, as a precondition of reinstatement; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent

part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight

Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in
the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 15th day of October, 2024.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

Neather Saken