D-102 September Term 2023 089425

In the Matter of :

Justin A. Greenblum :

ORDER

An Attorney at Law :

(Attorney No. 029942004) :

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 23-272, recommending on the record certified to the Board pursuant to Rule 1:20-4(f) (default by respondent), that Justin A. Greenblum, formerly of Staten Island, New York, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 2004, and who has been suspended from the practice of law since May 31, 2023, should be suspended from the practice of law for one year for violating RPC 1.7(a)(2) (engaging in a concurrent conflict of interest), RPC 1.8(a) (engaging in an improper business transaction with a client), and RPC 8.1(b) (failing to cooperate with disciplinary authorities); and

The Disciplinary Review board having further recommended that as a condition precedent to reinstatement, respondent be required to prove respondent's fitness to practice law, as attested to by a medical doctor

approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics; and

Justin A. Greenblum having failed to appear on the order directing respondent to show cause why respondent should not be disbarred or otherwise disciplined; and

The Court having determined from its review of the matter that the appropriate quantum of discipline for respondent's unethical conduct is an indeterminate suspension pursuant to <u>Rule 1:20-15A(a)(2)</u>;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **Justin A. Greenblum** is hereby suspended from the practice of law for an indeterminate period pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-15A(a)(2), effective immediately, and until further order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent shall not petition for reinstatement to practice for a period of four years following the effective date of the indeterminate suspension; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent shall provide to the Office of Attorney

Ethics proof of respondent's fitness to practice law, as attested to by a medical doctor approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics, as a precondition of reinstatement; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight

Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 21st day of October, 2024.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT